Ethics Code

Responsibilities of Revista En-Contexto

1. Revista En-Contexto undertakes to receive the article submitted and verify that it meets the minimum quality criteria for the first editorial filter. If so, the article will be sent on to double-blind review in charge of a judge specialized in the field approached by the author. The judge will collect observations and proposals that will be sent back to the author. Once this process has been completed, the author will make the necessary additions to the article and re-send it to the journal. The journal, in turn, undertakes to schedule the publication of the article and watch over the editing process.

2. Revista En-Contexto is responsible for updating the author on the editorial stage that the article is at and the results of the evaluation. This will be done through formal communication with the author at the following stages:
   a. Acknowledgment of receipt of the article and verification of transfer of rights.
   b. Acceptance or rejection on grounds of minimum quality criteria.
   c. Results of the judges’ evaluation.
   d. Acceptance or refusal to publish the article in Revista En-Contexto.
   e. Delivery of printed issues of Revista En-Contexto if the article has been published.

3. The publication of an article will depend exclusively on:
   a. The text’s thematic affiliation with Revista En-Contexto
   b. The strict observance of requirements of form established by the journal for the submission of originals
   c. The results of the judges’ academic evaluation
   d. The application of the observations and changes required by the judges
4. Editorial decisions will not be influenced by the author’s nationality or ethnicity, nor their political, economic, social or religious standing.

5. *Revista En-Contexto* undertakes to strictly respect the judging process established in its editorial standards.

6. Revista En-Contexto undertakes to publish the articles within the time frame and in the form established in its presentation.

7. Revista En-Contexto undertakes to complete a transparent editing process with full respect for the authors.

8. Revista En-Contexto refrains from financially rewarding the authors, judges and members of the editorial and scientific committee for their contributions. This is framed in the policy of opening of thought, spreading of information, free participation and collaboration for the sake of knowledge, science, education and culture.

9. Revista En-Contexto signed, stands for and promotes the Santiago Declaration.

**Authors’ Responsibilities**

1. The authors undertake to submit originals to *Revista En-Contexto*. Any previously published text will be rejected. A text will be considered as previously published when any of the following situations occur:
   a. When the complete text has been previously published.
   b. When large excerpts of previously published material are included in the text submitted to the journal and are not referenced correctly.
   c. These criteria apply to previous publications, whether printed or on line, in any language.

2. It is the author’s responsibility to avoid any conflict of interest in the publication of data and results.

3. The author must clearly cite and reference any excerpt from their own texts or another author’s work. This includes references to sources of data, tables, figures and documents.
4. The author must not submit the article to any other publication while it is being reviewed by judges of the journal. If such behavior comes to the journal’s attention, the reviewing or publishing process will stop.

5. The author accepts and abides by the standards, criteria and editorial procedures of Revista En-Contexto.

**Judges’ Responsibilities**

1. The judges decide whether an article is worth publishing. If it is not, they must provide adequate reasons for the rejection. In all cases, they must guide the authors on how to improve the text submitted to the journal.

2. The judges must only accept texts for which they have enough competence, experience and knowledge in order to take on the responsibilities assigned to them.

3. Judges must avoid any conflict of interest related to the text that has been assigned to them.

   *Editorial committee*